

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	۱۰. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/660,694 09/12/2003		09/12/2003	Robert C. Hochtritt	1517-1034	7665	
466	7590	08/30/2005		EXAMINER		
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S			
745 SOU 2ND FLO	TH 23RD S' OOR	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLING	TON, VA	22202		1772		
				DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_ <i>U</i> )				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/660,694	HOCHTRITT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Alexander Thomas	1772					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	August 2005.						
•	<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the content of the	awn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the I			•				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage					
Attachmen		<b>∆</b> □ <del> </del>	m. (PTO 442)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (	Date					
3) 🔲 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/660,694 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1772** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either EP 0286538, EP 0302382 or Freiburger et al 5,516,000 each in view of Heath et al 6,699,360. The primary references disclose the invention substantially as claimed, namely a stack of interfolded absorbent sheet products comprising a plurality of interleaved absorbent sheets each of which is folded twice about axes that are perpendicular to one another; see Figure 1 and column 8, lines 25-57 of EP 0286538, Figures 2-4 and page 3, lines 51-58 of EP 0302382, and Figure 7, column 1, lines 5-19 and claim 1 of Freiburger et al. The secondary reference discloses a single ply, absorbent sheet product which may be embossed and has a basis weight of 12.5; see the Abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the tissue product of the secondary reference as the sheet products in the primary references in order to provide an economical product with enhanced softness.

With regards to claims 8-13, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the size of the panels and the amount of folds in the sheets to provide the desired size of the absorbent product for a particular end use.

Claims 14 and 15 are directed to process limitations that do not add any patentably distinguishing features to the final product.

As to claims 18 and 20, the references disclose the sheets in dispensers with an opening. The phrase "downwardly-directed" (claim 18) is relative depending on how one views the dispenser and therefore does not distinguish over the prior art articles. In claim 20, the phrase "oriented at an ..... dispenser" does not further define the claimed article since the support surface is not being claimed in combination with the dispenser and may be positioned at any angle.

#### Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/660,694 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mequaly S. Thomas